RESEARCH ARTICLE

No association between osteoporosis and AO classification of distal radius fractures: an observational study of 289 patients

Anja M. Hjelle^{1,2,3*}, Jan-Erik Gjertsen^{4,5}, Ellen M. Apalset^{6,3}, Roy M. Nilsen⁷, Anja Lober², Grethe S. Tell³ and Pawel Mielnik

Abstract

Background: It is mechanically plausible that osteoporosis leads to more severe peripheral fractures, but studies investigating associations between BMD and radiographically verified complexity of distal radius fractures are scarce. This study aims to study the association between osteoporosis, as well as other risk factors for fracture, and the AO classification of distal radius fractures.

Methods: In this observational study, 289 consecutive patients aged \geq 40 years with a distal radius fracture were included. Bone mineral density (BMD) of the hips and spine was measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and comorbidities, medication, physical activity, smoking habits, body mass index (BMI), and history of previous fracture were registered. The distal radius fractures were classified according to the Müller AO system (AO) (type B and C regarded as most complex).

Results: Patients with osteoporosis (n = 130) did not have increased odds of a more complex distal radius fracture (type B + C, n = 192)) (n = vs type A (n = 92) (OR 1.1 [95% Cl 0.5 to 2.3]) compared to those with osteopenia /normal BMD (n = 159). Patients with AO fracture types A or C had a higher prevalence of osteoporosis than patients with type B fracture.

Conclusions: Distal radius fracture patients with osteoporosis did not sustain more complex fractures than those with osteopenia/normal BMD according to the AO classification system. The AO classification of distal radius fracture cannot be used to decide which patients should be referred to DXA scan and considered for secondary fracture prevention.

Keywords: Osteoporosis, Dual energy x-ray absorptiometry, Distal radius fracture, AO classification

* Correspondence: anja.myhre.hjelle@helse-forde.no

¹Department of Rheumatology, Division of Medicine, District General

Hospital of Førde, Førde, Norway ²Department of Radiology, District General Hospital of Førde, PO Box 1000, 6807 Førde, Norway

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

© The Author(s), 2020 Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver (http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/) applies to the data made available in this article, unless otherwise stated in a credit line to the data.

Hjelle et al. BMC Musculoskeletal Disorders (2020) 21:811 https://doi.org/10.1186/s12891-020-03842-w







Background

Distal radius fractures are the most common of all fractures during a lifespan. A Norwegian study found an overall annual incidence of 19.7 per 10,000 inhabitants 16 years or older [1]. In women, the incidence of distal radius fractures increases progressively with age from the perimenopausal period, while in men, the incidence remains low until later in life [2, 3]. According to the Swedish fracture registry (www.frakturregistret.se), 19, 357 women over the age of 60 suffered a distal radius fracture in 2018. Distal radius fractures are closely related to low bone mineral density (BMD) [4], and risk factors for fracture also include increasing age, female sex, low body mass index (BMI), smoking, postmenopausal status, low intake of dairy products, vitamin D deficiency, and autoimmune comorbidities. Patients sustaining a distal radius fracture have an increased risk of a major osteoporotic fracture (MOF) of the hip and vertebrae later in life [5, 6]. According to guidelines of fracture liaison services, a low energy fracture in an atrisk patient (e.g. > 50 years old) should lead to further examination with dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) and treatment with anti-osteoporotic drugs if indicated [7].

When it comes to distal radius fractures and radiographic severity a few studies have been performed [8– 12], but the number of patients examined are limited, the methods used differ, and conclusions are not easily drawn. Therefore, our aim was to further investigate if there is an association between osteoporosis and other well-known risk factors for osteoporotic fractures and AO classification of distal radius fractures.

Methods

Subjects

From March 1, 2012 until January 13, 2017, patients aged \geq 40 years presenting with acute distal radius fracture at the Department of Orthopedic Surgery at District General Hospital of Førde (Sogn og Fjordane County) were included in a case control study. The study was primarily designed to explore the prevalence of celiac disease in patients with peripheral fractures compared to community-based controls. The original study has previously been described [13]. Fracture patients who fulfilled the inclusion criteria and consented to participate were referred for DXA scan and consideration of secondary fracture prevention (n = 516). Two hundred eighty-nine patients agreed to participate, giving an inclusion rate of 56%. We included both patients with low energy fractures (equivalent to fall from standing height or lower) and fractures due to traumas with higher energy. Five patients suffered multiple simultaneous fractures (one with bilateral radius fractures, one with an additional humerus fracture, one with an additional ankle fracture,

and two with additional vertebral compression fractures).

Procedures and measurements

The radiographic distal radius series comprised standard anteroposterior and lateral radiographs. In 68% of cases (197 of 289 patients) a supplementary CT scan was available. The same radiologist classified the fractures as extra articular (type A), partly articular (type B) or complete articular (type C) according to the Müller AOsystem (AO) [14, 15]. Types B and C were considered more complex than type A. In addition, the multifragmentary fractures (types A3, C2 and C3) combined were compared to the other AO fracture types. Five of the distal radius fractures could not be classified because the radiographic images had been performed elsewhere.

The BMD measurements were performed by DXA technology (Lunar Prodigy Rtg 5603, manufacture year 2000, GE Healthcare), with a daily quality assurance of +/-2%. BMD was reported as g/cm² and T-scores by standard definition. Osteoporosis is defined as T-score ≤ - 2.5 in the femoral neck, total hip or lumbar spine. Osteopenia (low bone mineral density) is defined as Tscore between - 1.0 and - 2.5 [16]. History of previous fractures, comorbidities, medications, and lifestyle factors were registered. The original documents from the orthopedic surgeons and examining rheumatologist were reviewed to classify the injury as due to a low energy trauma or not. Height and weight were measured as part of the DXA procedure. BMI was calculated and categorized into underweight (BMI < 18.5), normal weight (BMI 18.5-24.99), overweight (BMI 25-29.99) and obesity (BMI \geq 30). Blood tests were analyzed to detect common causes of secondary osteoporosis [13].

Statistical analyses

We performed descriptive statistics for age, sex, BMI, number of patients with osteoporosis, osteopenia, and overweight in the distal radius fracture subgroups. Data between subgroups were compared using chi square or Fisher's exact test for categorical data and two-sample ttest or Mann-Whitney U test for continuous data. To assess risk factors associated with the complexity of fractures, we estimated odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) using unconditional logistic regression models. Complexity of fractures was defined as more radiological complex fractures (AO type B + C) as opposed to less complex fractures (AO type A). Relevant risk factors for complexity of fracture included osteoporosis, osteopenia, age > 65 years, male sex, BMI, and current and previous smoking. In all analyses, the association between the risk factor and the complexity of fractures was first examined crudely and then with adjustment for the other risk factors under study. All pvalues were two-sided and values below 0.05 were considered statistically significant. All calculations were performed using R version 3.6.2 (team).

Results

We found that 45.0% (n = 130) of patients with distal radius fracture had osteoporosis and 33% (n = 95) had osteopenia (Table 1). Patients with an AO type B fracture were younger, had a higher mean BMI, and the percentage of men was higher than in the groups with A or C fractures (Table 1). 29.4% of patients with type B fracture had osteoporosis compared to 46.7% of type A and 48.1% of type C (Table 1, Fig. 1). The patients with osteoporosis differed from the patients with osteopenia/ normal BMD at a group level by having a statistically lower BMI (BMI 26 vs 27, p-value 0.005), being older (mean age 64 vs 53 years, p-value 0.01), a greater percentage were female (88% vs 75%, p-value 0.01), and there was a higher prevalence of current smoking (18% vs 13%, p-value 0.1). There was a significantly higher proportion with low energy trauma mechanism in the patients with radius fracture and osteoporosis compared to those with radius fractures and normal BMD/osteopenia (77% vs 57%, p-value < 0.001).

The OR of sustaining a distal radius fracture type B or C vs. A was not significantly affected by the presence of osteoporosis (Table 2). Current smoking and low energy trauma injury were associated with less complex fractures (Table 2). When combining the multifragmentary fractures across the classification groups (A3 + C2 + C3), the OR of sustaining a multifragmentary fracture did not significantly differ according to BMD status (osteoporosis gave an OR of 1.4 (95% CI 0.6–3.7), and osteopenia OR 1.0 (95% CI 0.4 to 2.6)). Low energy trauma mechanism decreases the odds of comminuted fractures

compared to the other AO subgroups (OR for (A3 + C2 + C3) 0.3 (95% CI 0.1-0.5)).

Discussion

The odds of sustaining a distal radius fracture Type B or C compared to Type A in patients with osteoporosis did not differ from those with osteopenia or normal BMD. This indicates that the AO classification of the fracture cannot be used to decide which patients should be referred to DXA scan and considered for secondary fracture prevention. One may argue that the AOclassification system is not able to capture all the facets of a fracture, as many factors concern the mechanical complexity and etiology of a fracture (e.g. the position and angle of the extremity and the body at the time of the fall, body composition and weight, balance, rotational forces, and the surroundings). A more detailed discussion of the classification system is beyond the scope of the current study, which aims to investigate the association between osteoporosis and the severity of distal radius fractures using established radiographic methods.

Our results are in line with previous rapports. A study including 137 patients with low-energy distal radius fractures found an inverse correlation between BMD of the hip measured 3 months after the fracture and likelihood of early instability, late carpal malalignment and malunion [10]. However, no correlation between BMD and the AO subtypes was found. The same study found that BMD in patients with type C fractures was higher than in patients with type A fractures, which is in agreement with our results. This is also supported by a study of 208 patients with distal radius fracture, where no correlation between the AO-classification and BMD of the hips and spine was found [11]. The authors suggested that a

	Fracture type				
	All	AO type A	AO type B	AO type C	AO type B + C
Total n	289	92	34	158	192
Age, mean (range)	63 (40–92)	62 (42–88)	62 (42–80)	64 (40–92)	64 (40–92)
Female sex, n (%)	231 (80)	78 (85)	21 (61)	128 (81)	149 (78)
Osteoporosis ^a , n (%)	130 (45)	43 (47)	10 (29)	76 (48)	86 (45)
Osteopenia ^b , n (%)	95 (33)	31 (34)	13 (38)	47 (30)	60 (32)
BMI, mean (SD)	26 (5)	26 (4)	28 (5)	26 (5)	26 (5)
Overweight, n (%)	95 (33)	27 (29)	12 (35)	55 (35)	67 (35)
Obesity, n (%)	64 (22)	21 (23)	11 (32)	28 (18)	39 (21)
Current smoking, n (%)	43 (15)	20 (22)	3 (9)	19 (12)	21 (11)
Previous smoking, n (%)	121 (42)	39 (42)	11 (32)	67 (42)	64 (41)

 Table 1
 Characteristics of patients with distal radius fractures according to type of fracture (Müller AO classification system)

^a T-score ≤ -2.5

^b T-score – 1.0 - -2.5

AO AO classification, BMI Body Mass Index (BMI categories: underweight BMI < 18.5, normal weight BMI 18.5–24.99, overweight BMI 25–29.55 and obesity BMI ≥30.0); SD: Standard deviation



Table 2 Odds Ratios (unadjusted and adjusted) for complex(AO type B and C) vs. less complex (AO type A) distal radiusfractures

OR with 95% Cl		
Unadjusted	Adjusted	
1.0 (0.8–1.3)	1.0 (0.7–1.3)	
0.4 (0.2–0.9)	0.4 (0.2–0.8)	
0.8 (0.4–1.3)	0.7 (0.4–1.3)	
0.8 (0.4–1.5)	1.1 (0.5–2.3)	
0.8 (0.4–1.6)	1.0 (0.5–2.1)	
1.4 (0.9–2.4)	1.6 (0.9–2.7)	
1.7 (0.9–3.5)	1.5 (0.7–3.1)	
0.5 (0.3–0.8)	0.4 (0.2–0.8)	
	OR with 95% CI Unadjusted 1.0 (0.8–1.3) 0.4 (0.2–0.9) 0.8 (0.4–1.3) 0.8 (0.4–1.5) 0.8 (0.4–1.5) 1.4 (0.9–2.4) 1.7 (0.9–3.5) 0.5 (0.3–0.8)	

OR Odds ratio, CI Confidence interval, BMI Body mass index

Relevant risk factors adjusted for were: age, sex, BMI, smoking, bone mineral density and low energy trauma

^aReference category was the non-smoking group. When analyzing current smoking, the group of previous smoking is removed, and vice versa

^bReference category was no osteoporosis (osteopenia and normal bone mineral density)

 $^{c}Reference$ category was normal bone mineral density (T-score \geq - 1.0) $^{d}Reference$ category was age < 65

^eReference category was female sex

fReference category was no low energy trauma

possible explanation for this might be that DXA measures thickness of cortical bone, which is thicker in the metaphyseal area than in the epiphyseal area. A more severe osteoporotic fracture would therefore be a metaphysal fracture instead of an intra-articular fracture. Dhainaut et al. [12] assessed cortical hand BMD by digital X-ray radiogrammetry in 110 female patients with fragility fracture at the distal radius, and concluded with no correlation between neither BMD of the hip or spine nor the digital X-ray radiogrammetry and the AO fracture type. The only significant risk of intra-articular distal radius fracture compared to less complex fractures in that study was ever having used glucocorticoids, supporting the hypothesis that the severity is more associated with other factors comprising bone quality than BMD.

Severity of a distal radius fracture is a clinical assessment. The AO classification does not take into consideration instability, malunion, decreased radial length or the degree of dorsal angulation. It is clinically not clear if a complete articular fracture without displacement (C1) is more harmful to the patient than an extra-articular fracture with metaphyseal comminution (A3). Clayton et al. [10] define the most serious distal radius fracture types as A3, C2 and C3. Subanalysis of our data did not support that osteoporosis leads to a higher proportion of these fractures compared to other subtypes. We found a significantly lower OR for low energy trauma among those with type B or C fracture compared to type A. This illustrates that factors influencing fracture severity may be complex.

Strengths and limitations

Our study was not primarily designed to investigate the association between osteoporosis and radiological severity of distal radius fractures. The study was therefore underpowered to conclude on some aspects, as there are many subtypes of fractures and accordingly few fractures in some of the groups. We did, however, have a large number of patients compared to previous studies, and we included both women and men. The radiographic interpretations were done by an experienced radiologist, and the AO classification has earlier been shown to have good intra-observer reliability when restricted to the three main AO-types [17]. To our knowledge no studies have shown an association between the AO-classification, fracture severity and clinical outcome. Accordingly, based on our results the clinical severity of the fractures could not be assessed, only the radiographic complexity. A strength of this study was the availability of supplementary CT scans in 68% of the distal radius fractures. The use of CT scans may explain that there

were more AO type C fractures in our study, compared to other studies reporting more type A fractures.

Conclusions

In this study, severity of distal radius fractures according to the AO-classification of distal radius fractures was not associated with osteoporosis when adjusted for age, sex, and BMI. AO-classification of distal radius fractures cannot be used to identify which patients should be evaluated and treated for osteoporosis.

Abbreviations

BMD: Bone mineral density; DXA: Dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry; BMI: Body mass index; AO: Müller AO classification system; MOF: Major osteoporotic fracture

Acknowledgements

Not applicable.

Authors' contributions

Conception and design: AMH and PM. Collection and assembly of data: AMH and PM. Analysis: PM. Interpretation of the data: AMH, PM, JEG, RMN, EA. AL and GST. Drafting of the manuscript: AMH. Critical revision and final approval of the article: all authors.

Authors' information

Not applicable.

Funding

This research has received funding from the University of Bergen, Helse Førde and The Centre for Health Research in Sogn og Fjordane.

Availability of data and materials

Due to regulations from the Norwegian Data Inspectorate and according to Norwegian personal protection laws publication of the complete dataset is not legal or appropriate. If authors/researchers wish to have access to the dataset, this can still be achieved through direct contact with us.

Ethics approval and consent to participate

All participants signed a written informed consent form, and the study protocol was approved by the Regional Committee for Medical and Health Research Ethics (REC West).

Consent for publication

Not applicable.

Competing interests

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Author details

¹Department of Rheumatology, Division of Medicine, District General Hospital of Førde, Førde, Norway. ²Department of Radiology, District General Hospital of Førde, PO Box 1000, 6807 Førde, Norway. ³Department of Global Public Health and Primary Care, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ⁴Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway. ⁵Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway. ⁶Bergen group of Epidemiology and Biomarkers in Rheumatic Disease (BeABird), Department of Rheumatology, Haukeland University Hospital, Bergen, Norway. ⁷Faculty of Health and Social Sciences, Western Norway University of Applied Sciences, Bergen, Norway.

Received: 10 July 2020 Accepted: 26 November 2020 Published online: 04 December 2020

References

- Solvang HW, Nordheggen RA, Clementsen S, Hammer OL, Randsborg PH. Epidemiology of distal radius fracture in Akershus, Norway, in 2010-2011. J Orthop Surg Res. 2018;13(1):199.
- O'Neill TW, Cooper C, Finn JD, Lunt M, Purdie D, Reid DM, et al. Incidence of distal forearm fracture in British men and women. Osteoporos Int. 2001; 12(7):555–8.
- Rundgren J, Bojan A, Mellstrand Navarro C, Enocson A. Epidemiology, classification, treatment and mortality of distal radius fractures in adults: an observational study of 23,394 fractures from the national Swedish fracture register. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2020;21(1):88.
- Oyen J, Rohde G, Hochberg M, Johnsen V, Haugeberg G. Low bone mineral density is a significant risk factor for low-energy distal radius fractures in middle-aged and elderly men: a case-control study. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2011;12:67.
- Klotzbuecher CM, Ross PD, Landsman PB, Abbott TA 3rd, Berger M. Patients with prior fractures have an increased risk of future fractures: a summary of the literature and statistical synthesis. J Bone Miner Res. 2000;15(4):721–39.
- Johnell O, Kanis JA, Odén A, Sernbo I, Redlund-Johnell I, Petterson C, et al. Fracture risk following an osteoporotic fracture. Osteoporos Int. 2004;15(3): 175–9.
- Eisman JA, Bogoch ER, Dell R, Harrington JT, McKinney RE Jr, McLellan A, et al. Making the first fracture the last fracture: ASBMR task force report on secondary fracture prevention. J Bone Miner Res. 2012;27(10):2039–46.
- Xie X, Barenholdt O. Bone density and geometric properties of the distal radius in displaced and undisplaced Colles' fractures: quantitative CT in 70 women. Acta Orthop Scand. 2001;72(1):62–6.
- Itoh S, Tomioka H, Tanaka J, Shinomiya K. Relationship between bone mineral density of the distal radius and ulna and fracture characteristics. J Hand Surg Am. 2004;29(1):123–30.
- Clayton RA, Gaston MS, Ralston SH, Court-Brown CM, McQueen MM. Association between decreased bone mineral density and severity of distal radial fractures. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2009;91(3):613–9.
- 11. de Klerk G, Han Hegeman J, Duis HJ. The relation between AO-classification of distal radial fractures and bone mineral density. Injury. 2013;44(11):1657–8.
- Dhainaut A, Daibes K, Odinsson A, Hoff M, Syversen U, Haugeberg G. Exploring the relationship between bone density and severity of distal radius fragility fracture in women. J Orthop Surg Res. 2014;9:57.
- Hjelle AM, Apalset E, Mielnik P, Nilsen RM, Lundin KEA, Tell GS. Positive IgA against transglutaminase 2 in patients with distal radius and ankle fractures compared to community-based controls. Scand J Gastroenterol. 2018;53(10-11):1212–6.
- Marsh JLST, Agel J, et al. Fracture and disclocation classification compendium-2207: Ortopedic trauma Assosoation classification, database and outcomes commitee. J Orthop Trauma. 2007;200721:S1–S133.
- Jayakumar P, Teunis T, Gimenez BB, Verstreken F, Di Mascio L, Jupiter JB. AO distal radius fracture classification: global perspective on observer agreement. J Wrist Surg. 2017;6(1):46–53.
- Kanis JA. Diagnosis of osteoporosis and assessment of fracture risk. Lancet. 2002;359(9321):1929–36.
- Brogren E, Petranek M, Atroshi I. Incidence and characteristics of distal radius fractures in a southern Swedish region. BMC Musculoskelet Disord. 2007;8:48.

Publisher's Note

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.